

Message

From: Lazos, Pamela [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=15D4F11C6327474BB424A24CBD406E93-PLAZOS]
Sent: 9/27/2021 7:02:15 PM
To: Maslowski, Steven [Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

It looks good. I would just add that we are expecting a series of deliverables with week from CRW after which we will be planning our next settlement negotiation meeting.

From: Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:53 PM
To: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

Is the briefing ok

From: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:48 PM
To: Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

Monday's are always slow starts for me because I've been doing stuff all weekend that normally doesn't involve a computer and then bam! All day on the computer.

From: Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

My mistake, it's for the RA's monthly call with PADEP. Sometimes my wiring gets crossed if I need more sleep or been starring at a computer screen aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa day.

Steve Maslowski
NPDES Section
EPA Region III
215-814-2371

From: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:27 PM
To: Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

The Administrator??

From: Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:15 PM
To: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Subject: Here is a briefing for the Administrator that is due tomorrow

Capital Region Water (CRW):

- On September 14, 2021, EPA and PADEP met with Capital Region Water (CRW) the wastewater authority in Harrisburg, PA to discuss Consent Decree (CD) projects.
- The discussion focused on Appendix B, CD deliverables, which are a combination of collection system remedial and CSO reduction projects.
- The Appendix B projects will increase the CSO capture rate from 52% to 83% by 2032.
- These projects will include sewer separation from I-83. CRW is working with PennDOT to convey the stormwater from I-83 to the Paxton Creek. This project is being performed in conjunction with the removal of stormwater from the CSX rail yard and rechanneling of the Paxton Creek.
- All these projects are part of a \$100 million project cost investment by PennDOT which will help CRW meet the Paxton Creek TMDL.
- There are five phases of Green Infrastructure that are being financed by a \$13 million loan from PennVest. CRW is expecting 10 to 15% reduction of CSO overflows through GI in the first 12 years.
- Other projects include CCTV work of the remaining 50% of the collection system, upgrades at the wastewater treatment plant, repairs of CSO regulators and outfall pipes, and repairs to the Spring Creek interceptor. CRW has not yet decided how it will repair of the Paxton Creek Interceptor.
- On September 17, 2021, Counsel for both sides discussed key issues (pollutants of concern and sensitive area) to see if they could come to resolution

Steve Maslowski
NPDES Section
EPA Region III
215-814-2371

From: Zolandz, Mark <Zolandz.Mark@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 2:18 PM

To: Crosby, Monica <Crosby.Monica@epa.gov>; Gieda, Allison <Gieda.Allison@epa.gov>; Gold, Peter <Gold.Peter@epa.gov>; Greenwald, Michael <Greenwald.Michael@epa.gov>; Harsh, Chad <Harsh.Chad@epa.gov>; Hopkins, Ingrid <Hopkins.Ingrid@epa.gov>; Maslowski, Steven <Maslowski.Steven@epa.gov>; McAleer, Shane <McAleer.Shane@epa.gov>; McLaughlin, Kaitlin <McLaughlin.Kaitlin@epa.gov>; Pruzinsky, Amanda <Pruzinsky.Amanda@epa.gov>; Schadel, Chuck <Schadel.Chuck@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: ACTION BY TUES, 9/28; Input for state secty talking points - PADEP and WVDEP

Please let me know if you have any issues that the RA should raise on her monthly meetings with WV or PA.

Steve, can you go ahead and update the CRW write-up? I appreciate you doing this each month.

Thanks,
Mark

From: Garcia, Beth <garcia.beth@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 4:54 PM

To: R3 ECAD_MGRs <R3_ECAD_MGRs@epa.gov>

Cc: Maldonado, Zelma <Maldonado.Zelma@epa.gov>

Subject: ACTION BY TUES, 9/28; Input for state secty talking points - PADEP and WVDEP

Hello ECAD Managers:

The baton has been passed from Zelma to me. **By the next Tues, Sept 28, 11am**, please submit your proposal for any critical hot and/or emerging issues that you feel the RA should raise during his monthly meeting with **WVDEP and PADEP**.

You have the option of either emailing me **PRIOR** to the managers meeting (preferred), or raising the topic during the meeting to confirm it should be included this month.

Please respond to this email with “no input” if no hot issues for your group.

For context, we submitted the following topics last month:

WVDEP

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

PADEP

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Capital Region Water (CRW):

- On August 24, 2021, EPA and PADEP met with Capital Region Water to discuss the draft amended Consent Decree (CD). The discussion was productive.
- Both sides need to clarify several Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP) issues like sensitive areas and pollutants of concern.
- The parties need to work out when the LTCP will be due and a schedule to do the most serious repairs to the system, including installation of solids and floatable controls for CSO outfalls.
- The next settlement meeting is scheduled for September 14, 2021 to discuss the amended partial CD's injunctive relief provisions and its associated schedule.
- All parties are waiting for the Court to make the decision on the Lower Susquehanna Riverkeepers Association Motion to Intervene as to whether to allow them to become co-plaintiffs.

Nonresponsive based on revised scope